ЧИСЛЕННЫЕ МЕТОДЫ ОПТИМИЗАЦИИ

Оптимизация. Построение минимального охватывающего эллипсоида

Константин Ловецкий Ноябрь 2011

Кафедра систем телекоммуникаций

Введение. Постановка задачи.

Пусть в пространстве R^n даны m точек

$$a_1, a_2, ..., a_m \in R^n$$

Требуется построить эллипсоид минимального объема, содержащий внутри себя все эти точки.

Обозначим через A матрицу размерности $n \times m$, столбцы которой являются векторами $a_1, a_2, ..., a_m \in R^n$.

$$A : [a_1 | a_2 | ... | a_m].$$

Определение эллипсоида:

Эллипсоид с центром в точке $\,c\,$ определяется как

$$E_{Q,c} := \{x \in R^n \mid (x-c)^T Q(x-c) \le 1\};$$

here c is the center of the ellipsoid and Q determines its general shape. The volume of $\mathbf{E}_{Q,c}$ is given by the formula

$$\frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2+1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det Q}};$$

see Grötschel et al. (1998) for example. Here, $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the standard gamma function of calculus.

Under Assumption 1, a natural formulation of the minimum-volume covering ellipsoid problem is

(MVCE¹)
$$\min_{Q, c} \det Q^{-1/2}$$

s.t. $(a_i - c)^T Q(a_i - c) \le 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$
 $Q > 0.$

As written, MVCE¹ is not a convex program. By the change of variables

$$M = Q^{1/2}$$
 and $z = Q^{1/2}c$,

we restate the problem as

(MVCE²)
$$\min_{M,z} \psi(M,z) := -\ln \det M$$
s.t.
$$(Ma_i - z)^T (Ma_i - z) \le 1,$$

$$i = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$M > 0,$$
(1)

which is now a convex program. If $(\overline{M}, \overline{z})$ is a solution of MVCE², we recover the solution of MVCE¹ by setting $(\overline{Q}, \overline{c}) = (\overline{M}^2, \overline{M}^{-1}\overline{z})$.

MVCE² can be rewritten as a log-determinant maximization problem subject to linear equations and second-order cone constraints:

(MVCE³)
$$\min_{M,z,y,w} - \ln \det M$$

s.t. $Ma_i - z - y_i = 0, \quad i = 1, ..., m,$
 $w_i = 1, \quad i = 1, ..., m,$
 $(y_i, w_i) \in C_2^n, \quad i = 1, ..., m,$
 $M > 0,$

where C_2^n denotes the second-order cone $\{(y, w) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \|y\| \le w\}$. The format of MVCE³ is suitable for a solution using a slightly modified version of the software SDPT3 (see Toh et al. 1999, Tütüncü et al. 2003), where the software is modified to handle the parameterized family of barrier functions

In this section, we describe and derive our basic algorithm for the minimum-volume covering ellipsoid problem; we call this algorithm the "dual reduced Newton" algorithm for reasons that will soon be clear.

Newton Step

By adding a logarithmic barrier function to the problem formulation MVCE², we obtain the formulation

$$(\text{MVCE}_{\theta}^{2}) \quad \min_{M,z,t} \quad -\ln \det M - \theta \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln t_{i}$$
s.t.
$$(Ma_{i} - z)^{T} (Ma_{i} - z) + t_{i} = 1,$$

$$i = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$M > 0,$$

$$t > 0.$$

The parameterized solutions to this problem as θ varies in the interval $(0, \infty)$ define the central trajectory of the problem MVCE². Identifying dual multipliers u_i , $i = 1, \ldots, m$, with the equality constraints in MVCE²_{θ}, the optimality conditions for (MVCE²_{θ}) can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i \left[(Ma_i - z)a_i^T + a_i (Ma_i - z)^T \right] - M^{-1} = 0, \tag{4}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i (z - Ma_i) = 0, \tag{5}$$

$$(Ma_i - z)^T (Ma_i - z) + t_i = 1, \quad i = 1, ..., m,$$
 (6)

$$Ut = \theta e, \tag{7}$$

$$u, t \geqslant 0, \tag{8}$$

$$M \succ 0.$$
 (9)

We could attempt to solve (4)–(9) for (M, z, t, u) directly by using Newton's method, which would necessitate forming and factorizing an

$$\left(\frac{n(n+3)}{2} + 2m\right) \times \left(\frac{n(n+3)}{2} + 2m\right)$$

matrix. However, as we now show, the variables M and z can be directly eliminated, and further analysis will result in only having to form and factorize a single $m \times m$ matrix.

To see how this is done, note that we can solve (5) for z and obtain

$$z = \frac{MAu}{e^T u}. ag{10}$$

Substituting (10) into (4), we arrive at the following equation for the matrix M:

$$\left(AUA^{T} - \frac{Auu^{T}A^{T}}{e^{T}u}\right)M + M\left(AUA^{T} - \frac{Auu^{T}A^{T}}{e^{T}u}\right) = M^{-1}.$$
(11)

The following proposition, whose proof is in the appendix, demonstrates an important property of the matrix arising in (11):

PROPOSITION 2. Under Assumption 1, if u > 0, then $(AUA^T - Auu^TA^T/e^Tu) > 0$.

The following remark presents a closed-form solution for the equation system (11); see Lemma 4 of Zhang and Gao (2003):

REMARK 3. For a given S > 0, $X := S^{-1/2}$ is the unique positive definite solution of the equation system

$$\frac{1}{2}(X^TS + SX) = X^{-1}.$$

Utilizing Proposition 2 and Remark 3, the unique solution of (11) is easily derived:

$$M := M(u) := \left[2 \left(AUA^T - \frac{Auu^TA^T}{e^Tu} \right) \right]^{-1/2},$$
 (12)

and substituting (12) into (10), we conclude:

PROPOSITION 4. Under Assumption 1, if u > 0, then the unique solution of (4), (5), and (9) in M, z is given by

$$M := M(u) := \left[2 \left(A U A^T - \frac{A u u^T A^T}{e^T u} \right) \right]^{-1/2}$$
 (13)

and

$$z := z(u) := \frac{\left[2\left(AUA^{T} - \frac{Auu^{T}A^{T}}{e^{T}u}\right)\right]^{-1/2}Au}{e^{T}u}.$$
 (14)

Substituting (13) and (14) into the optimality conditions (4)–(9), we can eliminate the variables M and z explicitly from the optimality conditions, obtaining the following reduced optimality conditions involving only the variables (u, t):

$$h(u) + t = e,$$

$$Ut = \theta e,$$

$$u, t \ge 0,$$
(15)

where $h_i(u)$ is the following nonlinear function of u:

$$h_i(u) := (M(u)a_i - z(u))^T (M(u)a_i - z(u))$$

$$= \left(a_i - \frac{Au}{e^T u}\right)^T \left[2\left(AUA^T - \frac{Auu^T A^T}{e^T u}\right)\right]^{-1}$$

$$\cdot \left(a_i - \frac{Au}{e^T u}\right), \tag{16}$$

for i = 1, ..., m, where M(u) and z(u) are specified by (13) and (14).

For a given value of the barrier parameter θ , we will attempt to approximately solve (15) using Newton's method. Let $\nabla_u h(u)$ denote the Jacobian matrix of h(u). The Newton direction $(\Delta u, \Delta t)$ for (15) at the point (u, t) is then the solution of the following system of linear equations in $(\Delta u, \Delta t)$:

$$\nabla_{u}h(u)\Delta u + \Delta t = r_{1} := e - t - h(u),$$

$$T\Delta u + U\Delta t = r_{2} := \theta e - Ut.$$
(17)

This system will have the unique solution

$$\Delta u = (\nabla_u h(u) - U^{-1}T)^{-1} (r_1 - U^{-1}r_2),$$

$$\Delta t = U^{-1}r_2 - U^{-1}T\Delta u,$$
(18)

provided we can show that the matrix $(\nabla_u h(u) - U^{-1}T)$ is nonsingular.

To implement the above methodology, we need to explicitly compute $\nabla_u h(u)$, and we also need to show that $(\nabla_u h(u) - U^{-1}T)$ is nonsingular. Towards this end, we define the following matrix function:

$$\Sigma(u) := \left(A - \frac{Aue^T}{e^T u}\right)^T M^2(u) \left(A - \frac{Aue^T}{e^T u}\right) \tag{19}$$

as a function of the dual variables u. Let $A \circ B$ denote the Hadamard product of the matrices A, B, namely $(A \circ B)_{ij} := A_{ij}B_{ij}$ for i, j = 1, ..., m. The following result conveys an explicit formula for $\nabla_u h(u)$ and also demonstrates other useful properties.

Proposition 5. Under Assumption 1,

- (i) $\nabla_u h(u) = -2(\Sigma(u)/e^T u + \Sigma(u) \circ \Sigma(u)),$
- (ii) $\nabla_u h(u) \leq 0$, and
- (iii) $h(u) = \operatorname{diag}(\Sigma(u))$.

The proof of this proposition is presented in the appendix. From part (ii) of Proposition 5 and the fact that $U^{-1}T > 0$ whenever u, t > 0, we then have

COROLLARY 6. Under Assumption 1, if u > 0 and t > 0, then $(\nabla_u h(u) - U^{-1}T) \prec 0$, and so is nonsingular.

Now let us put all of this together. To compute the Newton direction $(\Delta u, \Delta t)$ for the reduced optimality conditions (15) at a given point (u, t), we compute according to the following procedure:

Procedure DRN-DIRECTION (u, t, θ) : Given (u, t) satisfying u, t > 0 and given $\theta \ge 0$,

Step 1. Form and factorize the matrix

$$M^{-2}(u) = \left[2\left(AUA^{T} - \frac{Auu^{T}A^{T}}{e^{T}u}\right)\right].$$

Step 2. Form the matrix

$$\Sigma(u) = \left(A - \frac{Aue^T}{e^T u}\right)^T M^2(u) \left(A - \frac{Aue^T}{e^T u}\right).$$

Step 3. Form

$$\nabla_{u}h(u) = -2\left(\frac{\Sigma(u)}{e^{T}u} + \Sigma(u) \circ \Sigma(u)\right)$$

and factorize $(\nabla_u h(u) - U^{-1}T)$.

Step 4. Solve (18) for $(\Delta u, \Delta t)$.

Note from (10) that $c = M^{-1}z = Au/e^{T}u$, which states that the center of the optimal ellipsoid is a convex weighting of the points a_1, \ldots, a_m , with the weights being the normalized dual variables $u_i/e^{T}u$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$. It is also easy to see that when $\theta = 0$, the complementarity condition $u_i t_i = \theta = 0$ has a nice geometric interpretation: A point has positive weight u_i only if it lies on the boundary of the optimal ellipsoid. These observations are well-known. Another property is that if one considers the points a_1, \ldots, a_m to be a random sample of m i.i.d. random vectors, then with u := e/m we have that

$$M^{-2}(u) = \frac{2}{m} \left(A - \frac{Aee^T}{m} \right) \left(A - \frac{Aee^T}{m} \right)^T$$

is proportional to the sample covariance matrix.

Based on the Newton step procedure outlined ealier, we construct the following basic interior-point algorithm for solving the MVCE ² 'formulation of the minimum volume' covering ellipsoid problem.

We name this algorithm "DRN" for dual reduced Newton algorithm.

Newton Step

By adding a logarithmic barrier function to the problem formulation MVCE², we obtain the formulation

$$(\text{MVCE}_{\theta}^{2}) \quad \min_{M,z,t} \quad -\ln \det M - \theta \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln t_{i}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad (Ma_{i} - z)^{T} (Ma_{i} - z) + t_{i} = 1,$$

$$\qquad \qquad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$M > 0,$$

$$t > 0.$$

The parameterized solutions to this problem as θ varies in the interval $(0, \infty)$ define the central trajectory of the problem MVCE². Identifying dual multipliers u_i , i = $1, \ldots, m$, with the equality constraints in MVCE_{θ}, the optimality conditions for $(MVCE_{\theta}^2)$ can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i \left[(Ma_i - z)a_i^T + a_i (Ma_i - z)^T \right] - M^{-1} = 0, \tag{4}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i (z - Ma_i) = 0, \tag{5}$$

$$(Ma_i - z)^T (Ma_i - z) + t_i = 1, \quad i = 1, ..., m,$$
 (6)

$$Ut = \theta e, \tag{7}$$

$$u, t \geqslant 0,$$

$$M > 0.$$
(8)

10.03.2012

20

We could attempt to solve (4)–(9) for (M, z, t, u) directly by using Newton's method, which would necessitate forming and factorizing an

$$\left(\frac{n(n+3)}{2} + 2m\right) \times \left(\frac{n(n+3)}{2} + 2m\right)$$

matrix. However, as we now show, the variables M and z can be directly eliminated, and further analysis will result in only having to form and factorize a single $m \times m$ matrix.

To see how this is done, note that we can solve (5) for z and obtain

$$z = \frac{MAu}{e^T u}. ag{10}$$

Substituting (10) into (4), we arrive at the following equation for the matrix M:

$$\left(AUA^{T} - \frac{Auu^{T}A^{T}}{e^{T}u}\right)M + M\left(AUA^{T} - \frac{Auu^{T}A^{T}}{e^{T}u}\right) = M^{-1}.$$
(11)

The following proposition, whose proof is in the appendix, demonstrates an important property of the matrix arising in (11):

PROPOSITION 2. Under Assumption 1, if u > 0, then $(AUA^T - Auu^TA^T/e^Tu) > 0$.

The following remark presents a closed-form solution for the equation system (11); see Lemma 4 of Zhang and Gao (2003):

REMARK 3. For a given S > 0, $X := S^{-1/2}$ is the unique positive definite solution of the equation system

$$\frac{1}{2}(X^TS + SX) = X^{-1}.$$

Utilizing Proposition 2 and Remark 3, the unique solution of (11) is easily derived:

$$M := M(u) := \left[2 \left(A U A^T - \frac{A u u^T A^T}{e^T u} \right) \right]^{-1/2}, \tag{12}$$

and substituting (12) into (10), we conclude:

PROPOSITION 4. Under Assumption 1, if u > 0, then the unique solution of (4), (5), and (9) in M, z is given by

$$M := M(u) := \left[2 \left(A U A^T - \frac{A u u^T A^T}{e^T u} \right) \right]^{-1/2}$$
 (13)

and

$$z := z(u) := \frac{\left[2\left(AUA^T - \frac{Auu^TA^T}{e^Tu}\right)\right]^{-1/2}Au}{e^Tu}.$$
 (14)

Substituting (13) and (14) into the optimality conditions (4)–(9), we can eliminate the variables M and z explicitly from the optimality conditions, obtaining the following reduced optimality conditions involving only the variables (u, t):

$$h(u) + t = e,$$

$$Ut = \theta e,$$

$$u, t \ge 0,$$
(15)

where $h_i(u)$ is the following nonlinear function of u:

$$h_i(u) := (M(u)a_i - z(u))^T (M(u)a_i - z(u))$$

$$= \left(a_i - \frac{Au}{e^T u}\right)^T \left[2\left(AUA^T - \frac{Auu^T A^T}{e^T u}\right)\right]^{-1}$$

$$\cdot \left(a_i - \frac{Au}{e^T u}\right), \tag{16}$$

for i = 1, ..., m, where M(u) and z(u) are specified by (13) and (14).

For a given value of the barrier parameter θ , we will attempt to approximately solve (15) using Newton's method. Let $\nabla_u h(u)$ denote the Jacobian matrix of h(u). The Newton direction $(\Delta u, \Delta t)$ for (15) at the point (u, t) is then the solution of the following system of linear equations in $(\Delta u, \Delta t)$:

$$\nabla_{u}h(u)\Delta u + \Delta t = r_{1} := e - t - h(u),$$

$$T\Delta u + U\Delta t = r_{2} := \theta e - Ut.$$
(17)

This system will have the unique solution

$$\Delta u = (\nabla_u h(u) - U^{-1}T)^{-1} (r_1 - U^{-1}r_2),$$

$$\Delta t = U^{-1}r_2 - U^{-1}T\Delta u,$$
(18)

provided we can show that the matrix $(\nabla_u h(u) - U^{-1}T)$ is nonsingular.

To implement the above methodology, we need to explicitly compute $\nabla_u h(u)$, and we also need to show that $(\nabla_u h(u) - U^{-1}T)$ is nonsingular. Towards this end, we define the following matrix function:

$$\Sigma(u) := \left(A - \frac{Aue^T}{e^T u}\right)^T M^2(u) \left(A - \frac{Aue^T}{e^T u}\right) \tag{19}$$

as a function of the dual variables u. Let $A \circ B$ denote the Hadamard product of the matrices A, B, namely $(A \circ B)_{ij} := A_{ij}B_{ij}$ for i, j = 1, ..., m. The following result conveys an explicit formula for $\nabla_u h(u)$ and also demonstrates other useful properties.

Proposition 5. Under Assumption 1,

- (i) $\nabla_u h(u) = -2(\Sigma(u)/e^T u + \Sigma(u) \circ \Sigma(u)),$
- (ii) $\nabla_u h(u) \leq 0$, and
- (iii) $h(u) = \operatorname{diag}(\Sigma(u))$.

The proof of this proposition is presented in the appendix. From part (ii) of Proposition 5 and the fact that $U^{-1}T > 0$ whenever u, t > 0, we then have

COROLLARY 6. Under Assumption 1, if u > 0 and t > 0, then $(\nabla_u h(u) - U^{-1}T) \prec 0$, and so is nonsingular.

Now let us put all of this together. To compute the Newton direction $(\Delta u, \Delta t)$ for the reduced optimality conditions (15) at a given point (u, t), we compute according to the following procedure:

Procedure DRN-DIRECTION (u, t, θ) : Given (u, t) satisfying u, t > 0 and given $\theta \ge 0$,

Step 1. Form and factorize the matrix

$$M^{-2}(u) = \left[2\left(AUA^{T} - \frac{Auu^{T}A^{T}}{e^{T}u}\right)\right].$$

Step 2. Form the matrix

$$\Sigma(u) = \left(A - \frac{Aue^T}{e^T u}\right)^T M^2(u) \left(A - \frac{Aue^T}{e^T u}\right).$$

Step 3. Form

$$\nabla_{u}h(u) = -2\left(\frac{\Sigma(u)}{e^{T}u} + \Sigma(u) \circ \Sigma(u)\right)$$

and factorize $(\nabla_u h(u) - U^{-1}T)$.

Step 4. Solve (18) for $(\Delta u, \Delta t)$.

The computational burden of each of the four steps in Procedure DRN-DIRECTION is dominated by the need to factorize the matrices in Steps (1) and (2) above. The matrix $(AUA^T - Auu^TA^T/e^Tu)$ in Step (1) is $n \times n$; it requires mn^2 operations to form and n^3 steps to factorize, while the matrix $(\nabla_u h(u) - U^{-1}T)$ in Step (4) is $m \times m$; it requires nm^2 steps to form and m^3 steps to factorize.

Note from (10) that $c = M^{-1}z = Au/e^Tu$, which states that the center of the optimal ellipsoid is a convex weighting of the points a_1, \ldots, a_m , with the weights being the normalized dual variables u_i/e^Tu , $i = 1, \ldots, m$. It is also easy to see that when $\theta = 0$, the complementarity condition $u_i t_i = \theta = 0$ has a nice geometric interpretation: A point has positive weight u_i only if it lies on the boundary of the optimal ellipsoid. These observations are well-known. Another property is that if one considers the points a_1, \ldots, a_m to be a random sample of m i.i.d. random vectors, then with u := e/m we have that

$$M^{-2}(u) = \frac{2}{m} \left(A - \frac{Aee^T}{m} \right) \left(A - \frac{Aee^T}{m} \right)^T$$

is proportional to the sample covariance matrix.

Algorithm DRN

Based on the Newton step procedure outlined earlier, we construct the following basic interior-point algorithm for solving the $MVCE_{\theta}^2$ ' formulation of the minimum volume covering ellipsoid problem. We name this algorithm "DRN" for dual reduced Newton algorithm.

Algorithm DRN

Step 0. Initialization. Set $r \leftarrow 0.99$. Choose initial values of (u^0, t^0) satisfying $u^0, t^0 > 0$. Set $(u, t) \leftarrow (u^0, t^0)$. Step 1. Check Stopping Criteria. OBJ := $-\ln \det[M(u)]$. If $||e - h(u) - t|| \le \epsilon_1$ and $(u^T t) / \text{OBJ} \le \epsilon_2$, STOP. Return u, $Q := [M(u)]^2$, $c := [M(u)]^{-1}z(u)$ and OBJ. Step 2. Compute Direction. Set $\theta \leftarrow (u^T t) / 10m$. Compute $(\Delta u, \Delta t)$ using Procedure DRN-DIRECTION (u, t, θ) . Step 3. Step-Size Computation and Step. Compute $\bar{\beta} \leftarrow \max\{\beta \mid (u, t) + \beta(\Delta u, \Delta t) \ge 0\}$ and $\bar{\beta} \leftarrow \min\{r\bar{\beta}, 1\}$. Set $(u, t) \leftarrow (u, t) + \bar{\beta}(\Delta u, \Delta t)$. Go to Step 1.

Литература

1. Computation of Minimum-Volume Covering Ellipsoids. Peng Sun, Robert M. Freund. OPERATIONS RESEARCH Vol. 52, No. 5, September–October 2004, pp. 690–706