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## Best-First Search Review

- Advantages
- Takes advantage of domain information to guide search
- Greedy advance to the goal
- Disadvantages
- Considers cost to the goal from the current state
- Some path can continue to look good according to the heuristic function

At this point the path is more
 costly than the alternate path

## The A* Algorithm

- Consider the overall cost of the solution.

$$
f(n)=g(n)+h(n) \quad \text { where } g(n) \text { is the path cost to node } n
$$

think of $f(n)$ as an estimate of the cost of the best solution going through the node $n$


## The A* Algorithm

A*-Search(initial-test)
;; functions cost, h, succ, and GoalTest are defined open <- MakePriorityQueue(initial-state, NIL, $0, h$ (initial-state), h(initial-state)) ;; (state, parent, g, h, f)
while (not(empty(open)))
node $\square$ pop(open), state $\square$ node-state(node) closed push (closed, node) if GoalTest(state) succeeds return node for each child in succ(state) new-cost $\square$ node-g(node) + cost(state,child) if child in open
if new-cost < $\mathbf{g}$ value of child
update(open, child, node, new-cost, h(child), new-cost+h(child)) elseif child in closed
if new-cost < g value of child
insert(open, child, node, new-cost, h(child), new-cost+h(child)) delete(closed,child)
else
open $\square$ push(child, node, new-cost, h(child), new-cost+h(child))
return failure

## A* Search: Example

- Travel: $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n})=$ distance( n, goal)




## A* Search : Example



Figure 4.4 Stages in an A* search for Bucharest. Nodes are labelled with $f=g+h$. The $h$ values are the straight-line distances to Bucharest taken from Figure 4.1.


## Admissible Heuristics

- we also require $h$ be admissible:
- a heuristic $h$ is admissible if $h(n)<h^{*}(n)$ for all nodes $n$,
- where $h^{*}$ is the actual cost of the optimal path from $n$ to the goal
- Examples:
- travel distance straight line distance must be shorter than actual travel path
- tiles out of place each move can reorder at most one tile distance of each out of place tile from the correct place each move moves a tile at most one place toward correct place



## Optimality of $A^{*}$

- Let us assume that f is non-decreasing along each path
- if not, simply use parent's value
- if that's the case, we can think of $A^{*}$ as expanding $f$ contours toward the goal; better heuristics make this contour more "eccentric"
- Let $G$ be an optimal goal state with path cost $f^{*}$
- Let $G_{2}$ be a suboptimal goal state with path cost $g\left(G_{2}\right)>f^{*}$.
- suppose $A^{*}$ picks $G_{2}$ before $G$ ( $A^{*}$ is not optimal)
- suppose n is a leaf node on the path to $G$ when $G_{2}$ is chosen
- if $h$ is admissible, then $f^{*}>=f(n)$
- since $n$ was not chosen, it must be the case that $f(n)>=f\left(G_{2}\right)$
- therefore $f^{*}>=f\left(G_{2}\right)$, but since $G_{2}$ is a goal, $h\left(G_{2}\right)=0$, so $f^{*}>=g\left(G_{2}\right)$
- But this is a contradiction --- $G_{2}$ is a better goal node than $G$
- Thus, our supposition is false and $A^{*}$ is optimal.



## Completeness of $\mathrm{A}^{*}$

- Suppose there is a goal state $G$ with path cost $f^{*}$
- Intuitively: since A* expands nodes in order of increasing f, it must eventually expand node $G$
- If $A^{*}$ stops and fails
- Prove by contradiction that this is impossible.
- There exists a path from the initial state to the node state
- Let $n$ be the last node expanded along the solution path
- $n$ has at least one child, that child should be in the open nodes
- A* does not stop until there are open list is empty (unless it finds a goal state). Contradiction.
- $A^{*}$ is on an infinite path
- Recall that cost(s1,s2) >
- Let n be the last node expanded along the solution path
- After $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{n}) / \delta$ the cumulative cost of the path becomes large enough that $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ will expand n . Contradiction.



## UCS, BFS, Best-First, and $A^{*}$

- $f=g+h \quad=>A^{*}$ Search
- $h=0 \quad=>$ Uniform cost search
- $g=1, h=0$ => Breadth-First search
- $g=0 \quad=>$ Best-First search


## Road Map Problem




## 8-queens

State contains 8 queens on the board
Successor function returns all states generated by moving a single queen to another square in the same column ( $8^{\star 7}=56$ next states)
$\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s})=$ number of queens that attack each other in state s .

| 18 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 16 |
| 14 | 12 | 18 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 14 |
| 15 | 14 | 14 | V/k | 13 | 16 | 13 | 16 |
| $N /$ | 14 | 17 | 15 | N/k | 14 | 16 | 16 |
| 17 | $y^{W}$ | 16 | 18 | 15 | Vk | 15 | NV/ |
| 18 | 14 | W | 15 | 15 | 14 | VW | 16 |
| 14 | 14 | 13 | 17 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 18 |

$$
H(s)=17
$$



$$
H(s)=1
$$

## Heuristics : 8 Puzzle



## 8 Puzzle

-Reachable state : $9!/ 2=181,440$

- Use of heuristics
- h1: \# of tiles that are in the wrong position
- h2 : sum of Manhattan distance

| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 |  | 4 |

$$
h 1=3
$$

$h 2=1+2+2=5$

| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 |  | 4 |
| 7 | 6 | 5 |



## Effect of Heuristic Accuracy on Performance

- Well-designed heuristic have its branch close to 1
- $h_{2}$ dominates $h_{1}$ iff
$h_{2}(n) \geq h_{1}(n), \quad \forall n$
- It is always better to use a heuristic function with higher values, as long as it does not overestimate
- Inventing heuristic functions
- Cost of an exact solution to a relaxed problem is a good heuristic for the original problem
- collection of admissible heuristics

$$
h^{*}(n)=\max \left(h_{1}(n), h_{2}(n), \ldots, h_{k}(n)\right)
$$



|  | Search Cost |  |  | Effective Branching Factor |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d$ | IDS | $\mathrm{A}^{*}\left(h_{1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{A}^{*}\left(h_{2}\right)$ | IDS | $\mathrm{A}^{*}\left(h_{1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{A}^{*}\left(h_{2}\right)$ |
| 2 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 2.45 | 1.79 | 1.79 |
| 4 | 112 | 13 | 12 | 2.87 | 1.48 | 1.45 |
| 6 | 680 | 20 | 18 | 2.73 | 1.34 | 1.30 |
| 8 | 6384 | 39 | 25 | 2.80 | 1.33 | 1.24 |
| 10 | 47127 | 93 | 39 | 2.79 | 1.38 | 1.22 |
| 12 | 364404 | 227 | 73 | 2.78 | 1.42 | 1.24 |
| 14 | 3473941 | 539 | 113 | 2.83 | 1.44 | 1.23 |
| 16 | - | 211 | - | 1.45 | 1.25 |  |
| 18 | - | 3056 | - | 1.46 | 1.26 |  |
| 20 | - | 7276 | - | 1.47 | 1.27 |  |
| 22 | - | 18094 | 1646 | - | 1.48 | 1.28 |
| 24 | - | 39135 |  |  | 1.48 | 1.26 |

Figure 4.8 Comparison of the search costs and effective branching factors for the ITERATIVE-DEEPENING-SEARCH and $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ algorithms with $h_{1}, h_{2}$. Data are averaged over 100 instances of the 8-puzzle, for various solution lengths.


## A* summary

- Completeness
- provided finite branching factor and finite cost per operator
- Optimality
- provided we use an admissible heuristic
- Time complexity
- worst case is still $O\left(b^{d}\right)$ in some special cases we can do better for a given heuristic
- Space complexity
- worst case is still $O\left(b^{d}\right)$


## Relax Optimality

- Goals:
- Minimizing search cost
- Satisficing solution, i.e. bounded error in the solution
$f(s)=(1-w) g(s)+w h(s)$
- $g$ can be thought of as the breadth first component
- w = 1 => Best-First search
$-w=.5$ => A* search
- w = 0 => Uniform search


## Iterative Deepening A*

- Goals
- A storage efficient algorithm that we can use in practice
- Still complete and optimal
- Modification of $A^{*}$
- use f-cost limit as depth bound
- increase threshold as minimum of $f($.) of previous cycle
- Each iteration expands all nodes inside the contour for current f-cost
- same order of node expansion



## IDA* Algorithm

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { IDA* (state, } \mathrm{h} \text { ) returns solution } \\
& \mathrm{f} \text {-limit <-h(state) } \\
& \text { loop do } \\
& \text { solution, } \mathrm{f} \text {-limit } \square \text { DFS-Contour(state, } \mathrm{f} \text {-limit) } \\
& \text { if solution is non-null return solution } \\
& \text { if f-limit }=\infty \text { return failure } \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

DFS-Contour (node,f-limit) returns solution
if $f$ (node) >f-limit return null, $f($ node $)$
if GoalTest(node) return node, f-limit
next-f $\square \infty$
for each node s in succ(node) do
solution, new-f $\square$ DFS-Contour(s, f-limit)
if solution is non-null return solution, f -limit
next-f $\square$ Min(next-f, new-f)
end
return null, next-f

## IDA* Properties

- Complete:
- if shortest path fits into memory
- Optimal:
- if shortest optimal path fits into memory
- Time Complexity: $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{b}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right)$
- Space Complexity: O(bd)


## Mapquest

- MapQuest uses a "double Dijkstra" algorithm for its driving directions, working backward from both the starting and ending points at once. MapQuest uses a "double Dijkstra" algorithm for its driving directions, working backward from both the starting and ending points at once.
- the algorithm uses heuristic tricks to minimize the size of the graph that must be searched.

